Ra-226 results for 920 S. St. Francis Street Monnig, Rob to: Randy Schademann 06/02/2009 10:21 AM **Show Details** 

History: This message has been forwarded.

Randy,

Just got the analytical back for 920 St. St. Francis Street samples. The four samples ranged from 11 3 to 495 pCi/g for Ra-226.

Colin is working on the figure. I will have him add the samples results to the figure.

Rob Monnig, PE | Chemical Engineer
Direct 816 412 1775 | Main 816 412 1741 | Fax 816 410 1748
rob monnig@ttemi com

Tetra Tech | Kansas City Operations | 415 Oak Street | Kansas City MO 64106 | www tetratech com Complex World, Clear Solutions™



Think Green - Not every email needs to be printed

PLEASE NOTE. This message including any attachments, may include privileged, confidential and/or inside information. Any distribution or use of this communication by anyone other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you are not the intended recipient please notify the sender by replying to this message and then delete it from your system





### a member of The GEL Group INC



PO Box 30712 Charleston, SC 29417 2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407

P 843,556,8171 F 843,766,1178

www.gel.com

June 01, 2009

Mr. Robert Monnig Tetra Tech EM Inc. 415 Oak Street Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Re: Standard Products -Ra226

Work Order: 229665

Dear Mr. Monnig:

GEL Laboratories, LLC (GEL) appreciates the opportunity to provide the enclosed analytical results for the sample(s) we received on May 12, 2009. This original data report has been prepared and reviewed in accordance with GEL's standard operating procedures.

Our policy is to provide high quality, personalized analytical services to enable you to meet your analytical needs on time every time. We trust that you will find everything in order and to your satisfaction. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (843) 556-8171, ext. 4297.

Sincerely,

Amanda Rasco Project Manager

Purchase Order: 1048525

Enclosures

Page of	GEL Chain of Custody and Analytical Request																	
Project # 12040 Savage Road										ad	ļ							
GEL Quote # GELP 09-0367	SL'S SA	mpie	ole Acceptance SOP**  Charleston, SC 2								9407	ı						
COC Number (1)	GEL Work Order Number: 22966								Phone: (843) 556-8171									
To reminde:																		
Client Name Tetra Tech	175	Sample Analysis Requested (5) (Fill in the number of containers for each test)								iners for each test)								
Project/Site Name Radius - Stat		Should this	dered	Total number of containers						$\perp$	$\perp$	1_		< Preservative Type (6)				
Address Wichita KS		Shou	Consi	Contr	ا ح		Spec		1	- {		ł		Comments				
Collected by. Robert Marinis Send Results To Cob. Monning Coffee Com									Radinar-226	Ly Ingramily	4	l		1	l	1		Note extra sample is
11111	*Date Collected	*Time Collected	QC	Field	Sample	<u>#</u>	Regulated			3	<b>?</b> [ ]	Ì	i		-		1	required for sample
Sample ID	(mm-44-rr)	(Military)	Code (i)		Matrix <sup>41</sup>	Radiosctive	TSCA I	1	-	7	. <b>3</b> 4	ŀ		1		1		specific QC
For composites - indicate start and stop date/ti	1me 05/05/14	(Namm)		<del>                                     </del>	<u> </u>	2	<u></u>	F	-	-4	-			+		┼-	<b>.</b>	
920-01 0-6"	ac 100/00/00	0935	<u>N</u>	146	20	Ш		1	X			_	_	4	_	↓_		
920-01 6-12"		0945	N	740	Sa			1	X.				_	_	_	↓_	<u> </u>	
920-02 0-6"		0955	N	No	50			1	X					$\perp$		$\perp$		
920-02 6-12"		1005	N	NO	So	L		1	X									
	<del></del>			_									-+	-	╅	+-		
<del></del>	<del></del>	<del>   </del>		ļ-—	├					$\vdash \vdash$					+	+-	<del>       </del>	ļ
				L				<u> </u>										<u> </u>
		]]	_												T	$T^-$		
<del></del>		<del>   </del>			<del>                                     </del>	H	-	<del>                                     </del>	$\vdash$			$\vdash$	$\neg +$	$\neg$	+	+-	$\vdash$	<del></del>
		1		<u> </u>		-				$\vdash$		$\vdash$			+	┼	<b>↓</b>	
<b>Y</b>		1 1			1											1		
TAT Requested Normal RushSp	ecify (Subject to Surcha	res) Fax Res	urite	Yes	,	(N	<u>&gt;</u>	_	ircle D	elwere	hle C	of A	/ 00	Summe	ry / 1	evel I	/ [41	vel 2 / Level 3 / Level 4
Remarks: Are there any known hazards ap					zards	—	<u>"</u>		ucio D	<u> </u>	DIC C	U. A	<u>, qc</u>	Juleia	<u>., , .</u>			lection Time Zone
,	•					^											stern	Pacific
Insilu game reding with	H Freinw Cxc be	are .	20.	,200	Kch	• `											untaun	Other
Chair	of Custody Signatures							_				Samp	ole Shi	pping	and De			ils
Relinquished By (Signed) Date Time Received by (signed) Date Time									Sample Shipping and Delivery Details  GEL PM Amanda Rosco									
1 . S/H/09 /1000 1 Fed Fr								_	•	n Fi				D	ate Ship	ped	5/11	/ <del>6</del> N
2 Rill Sulver Artisto T P PA Arrival #																		
3 5/12/09 08 45 Arbill #																		
1) Chass of Custody Number = Close Determined																		
2) QC Codes N = Normal Sample, TB = Trip Blank, FB = Field Duplicate, EB = Equipment Blank, MS = Matrix Spike Sample, MSD = Matrix Spike Duplicate Sample, G = Grab, C = Composite																		
) Field Filtered For liquid matrices, indicate with a - Y - for yet the sample was field filtered or - N - for sample was not field filtered																		
Sample Analysis Requested Analytical method requested (1)				-				-		III WES	e, U-UL	, #=rUI	or, r=W	pc, U=U:	ruic, P=F	cc21, rt=1	Ъ—-	YES NO Cooler Temp
6) Preservative Type HA = Hydrochlone Acid, NI = Nane Acid, SH = Sodium Hydroxide, SA = Sulfane Acid, AA = Ascorbic Acid, HX = Hexane, ST = Sodium Thiosulfiste, If no preservative is added = leave field blank  20 C																		



# SAMPLE RECEIPT & REVIEW FORM

Clien	" TETR			SDG/ARCOC/Work Order: 229665								
Recei	ved By: Ricky Albee			Date Received: 5/13/09								
Suspe	ected Hazard Information	Yes	ટ્ટ	*If Counts > x2 area background on samples not marked "radioactive", contact the Radiation Safety Group of further investigation.								
COC	Samples marked as radioactive?	V		Maximum Counts Observed*: 4400 9m, ~0.5 Revell-								
Class	ified Radioactive II or III by RSO?		7									
COC	Samples marked containing PCBs?		/									
Shipp	ed as a DOT Hazardous?	レ		Hazard Class Shipped: 7 UN#: 296								
Samp	les identified as Foreign Soil?		1									
	Sample Receipt Criteria	Yes	Y Y	Comments/Qualifiers (Required for Non-Conforming Items)								
1	Shipping containers received intact and sealed?	1		Circle Applicable seals broken damaged container leaking container other (describe)								
2	Samples requiring cold preservation within $0 \le 6$ deg. C?		/	Preservation Method: toe bags blue ice dry ice done other (describe)								
3	Chain of custody documents included with shipment?	7										
4	Sample containers intact and sealed?	\		Circle Applicable: seals broken damaged container leaking container other (describe)								
5	Samples requiring chemical preservation at proper pH?		<b>\</b>	Sample ID's, containers affected and observed pH:  If Preservation added, Lot#								
6	VOA vials free of headspace (defined as < 6mm bubble)?		7	Sample ID's and containers affected:								
7	Are Encore containers present?			(If yes, immediately deliver to Volatiles laboratory)								
8	Samples received within holding time?	/		Id's and tests affected:								
9	Sample ID's on COC match ID's on bottles?	~		Sample ID's and containers affected:								
10	Date & time on COC match date & time on bottles?	V		Sample ID's affected:								
11	Number of containers received match number indicated on COC?	~		Sample ID's affected:								
12	COC form is properly signed in relinquished/received sections?	V										
lomn	tedts 8682 98	'ఠዣ	7	4046								
	PM (or PMA) review: Initials RA Date 5/13/07 Page 3 of 11											

2040 Savage Road Charleston SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

# Certificate of Analysis Report for

TETR027 Tetra Tech Missouri Project Client SDG: 229665 GEL Work Order: 229665

#### The Qualifiers in this report are defined as follows:

- \* A quality control analyte recovery is outside of specified acceptance criteria
- \*\* Analyte is a surrogate compound
- U Analyte was analyzed for, but not detected above the MDL, MDA, or LOD.

Where the analytical method has been performed under NELAP certification, the analysis has met all of the requirements of the NELAC standard unless qualified on the Certificate of Analysis.

The designation ND, if present, appears in the result column when the analyte concentration is not detected above the detection limit.

This data report has been prepared and reviewed in accordance with GEL Laboratories LLC standard operating procedures. Please direct any questions to your Project Manager, Amanda Rasco.

Amon la Thoses
Reviewed by

2040 Savage Road Charleston SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel com

# **Certificate of Analysis**

Company: Tetra Tech EM Inc

Address

415 Oak Street

Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Contact

Mr. Robert Monnig

Project:

Standard Products -Ra226

Client Sample ID: Sample ID.

Collect Date.

Qualifier

920-01 0-6" 229665001

Soil

05-MAY-09 09:35

Receive Date: Collector:

Matrix:

12-MAY-09 Client

Uncertainty

Result

Rad Gamma Spec Analysis

Gamma, Ra226, Solid "Dry Weight Corrected"

Radium-226

**Parameter** 

113 +/-() 829 0.0695

DL

0.500

RL

pCı/g

Units

Project:

Client ID:

DF

MJH1 05/25/09 1623 867489

Time Batch Method

Report Date: June 1, 2009

TETR02701

AnalystDate

TETR027

The following Prep Methods were performed

Method Description Analyst Date Time **Prep Batch** Dry Soil Prep Dry Soil Prep GL-RAD-A-021 CXCI 05/14/09 0833 866847

The following Analytical Methods were performed

**Analyst Comments** Method Description

EML HASL 300, 4 5.2.3

2040 Savage Road Charleston SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

# **Certificate of Analysis**

Company

Tetra Tech EM Inc

Address ·

415 Oak Street

Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Contact:

Mr. Robert Monnig

Project:

Standard Products -Ra226

Client Sample ID.

Sample ID.

920-01 6-12" 229665002

Soil

Matrix:

Collect Date:

05-MAY-09 09:45

Receive Date:

12-MAY-09

Collector:

Client

Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Uncertainty	DL	RL	Units	DF	AnalystDate	Time	Batch Method
Dad Carrey Carrey And India										

Rad Gamma Spec Analysis

Gamma, Ra226. Solid "Dry Weight Corrected"

Radium-226

524

+/-4 24

0 112

0.500

pC1/g

Project:

Client ID.

MJH1 05/25/09 1623 867489

Report Date: June 1, 2009

TETR02701

TETR027

The following Prep Methods were performed

Method	Description	Analyst	Date	Time	Prep Batch	
Dry Soil Prep	Dry Soil Prep GL-RAD-A-021	CXCI	05/14/09	0833	866847	 _

The following Analytical Methods were performed

**Analyst Comments** Method Description

EML HASL 300, 4.5 2.3

2040 Savage Road Charleston SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

# **Certificate of Analysis**

Company: Tetra Tech EM Inc

Address .

415 Oak Street

Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Contact:

Mr Robert Monnig

Project.

Standard Products -Ra226

Client Sample ID.

Sample ID:

229665003

Matrix:

Soil

Collect Date:

Qualifier

05-MAY-09 09:55

Result

Receive Date. Collector:

12-MAY-09

Uncertainty

920-02 0-6"

Client

**Parameter** Rad Gamma Spec Analysis

Gamma, Ra226, Solid "Dry Weight Corrected"

Radium-226

495

+/-39.6

0.500

RL

pCı/g

**Analyst Comments** 

Units

Project:

Client ID.

DF

MJH1 05/26/09 0632 867489

Time Batch Method

Report Date: June 1, 2009

TETR02701

AnalystDate

TETRO27

The following Prep Methods were performed

Time Analyst Date Prep Batch Method Description CXCI 05/14/09 0833 866847 Dry Soil Prep Dry Soil Prep GL-RAD-A-021

0.815

DL

The following Analytical Methods were performed

Method Description

EML HASL 300, 4.5 2 3

2040 Savage Road Charleston SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

# **Certificate of Analysis**

Company

Tetra Tech EM Inc.

Address .

415 Oak Street

Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Contact.

Mr. Robert Monnig

Project:

Standard Products -Ra226

Client Sample ID:

Sample ID Matrix:

920-02 6-12" 229665004

Soil

Collect Date: Receive Date.

05-MAY-09 10·05 12-MAY-09

Collector:

Client

Parameter Qualifier Result Uncertainty RLUnits DF Time Batch Method DL AnalystDate

Rad Gamma Spec Analysis

Gamma, Ra226, Solid "Dry Weight Corrected"

Radium-226

271

+/-21.3

0.614

0.500

pC1/g

Project. Client ID

MJH1 05/26/09 0633 867489

Report Date June 1, 2009

TETR02701 TETR027

The following Prep Methods were performed

Time **Prep Batch** Method Description Analyst Date CXCI 05/14/09 Dry Soil Prep Dry Soil Prep GL-RAD-A-021 0833 866847

The following Analytical Methods were performed

**Analyst Comments** Method Description EML HASL 300, 4.5.2.3

2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www gel com

**QC Summary** 

Report Date: June 1, 2009

Page 1 of 2

415 Oak Street Kansas City, Missouri Contact:

Mr. Robert Monnig

Tetra Tech EM Inc.

Workorder:	229665
------------	--------

Parmname Rad Gamma Spec Batch 867489	ý	NOM	Sample	Qual	QC	Units	RPD%	REC%	Range /	Anlst	Date Time
Radium-226		DUP	11.3 +/-0.829		10 1 +/-0 918	pCı/g	110		(0% - 20%)	мэні	05/26/09 06 36
QC 1201838947 Americium-241	LCS	16 3			14 6 +/-1 09	pCı/g		89.5	(75%-125%)		05/26/09 06 40
Cesium-137		5.79			6 42 +/-0 579	pCi/g		[11	(75%-125%)		
Cobalt-60		7.22			7 66 +/-0.630	pCı/g		106	(75%-125%)		
Radium-226					0.950 +/-0 375	pCı/g			(75%-125%)		
QC1201838945 Radium-226	МВ			U	0 023 +/-0 0701	pCı/g					05/26/09 06 35

#### Notes:

The Qualifiers in this report are defined as follows:

- Analyte is a surrogate compound
- Result is less than value reported <
- Result is greater than value reported >
- The TIC is a suspected aldol-condensation product Α
- В For General Chemistry and Organic analysis the target analyte was detected in the associated blank
- BDResults are either below the MDC or tracer recovery is low
- C Analyte has been confirmed by GC/MS analysis
- D Results are reported from a diluted aliquot of the sample
- F Estimated Value
- Analytical holding time was exceeded Н
- J Value is estimated
- M if above MDC and less than LLD M
- M Matrix Related Failure
- N/A RPD or %Recovery limits do not apply.
- ND Analyte concentration is not detected above the detection limit
- NJ Consult Case Narrative, Data Summary package, or Project Manager concerning this qualifier
- R Sample results are rejected
- U Analyte was analyzed for, but not detected above the MDL, MDA, or LOD

2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www gel com

### **QC Summary**

Workorder: 229665 Page 2 of 2

Parmname NOM Sample Qual QC Units RPD% REC% Range Anlst Date Time

- UI Gamma Spectroscopy--Uncertain identification
- X Consult Case Narrative, Data Summary package, or Project Manager concerning this qualifier
- Y QC Samples were not spiked with this compound
- ^ RPD of sample and duplicate evaluated using +/-RL Concentrations are <5X the RL Qualifier Not Applicable for Radiochemistry.</p>
- h Preparation or preservation holding time was exceeded

N/A indicates that spike recovery limits do not apply when sample concentration exceeds spike conc. by a factor of 4 or more.

- ^ The Relative Percent Difference (RPD) obtained from the sample duplicate (DUP) is evaluated against the acceptance criteria when the sample is greater than five times (5X) the contract required detection limit (RL). In cases where either the sample or duplicate value is less than 5X the RL, a control limit of +/- the RL is used to evaluate the DUP result.
- \* Indicates that a Quality Control parameter was not within specifications. For PS, PSD, and SDILT results, the values listed are the measured amounts, not final concentrations.

Where the analytical method has been performed under NELAP certification, the analysis has met all of the requirements of the NELAC standard unless qualified on the QC Summary.

List of current GEL Certifications as of 01 June 2009

State	Certification							
Arizona	AZ0668							
Arkansas	88-0651							
CLIA	42D0904046							
California – NELAP	01151CA							
Colorado	GEL							
Connecticut	PH-0169							
Dept of Navy	NFESC 413							
EPA Region 5	WG-15J							
Florida – NELAP	E87156							
Georgia	E87156 (FL/NELAP)							
Georgia DW	967							
Hawaii	N/A							
ISO 17025	2567.01							
Idaho	SC00012							
Illinois – NELAP	200029							
Indiana	C-SC-01							
Kansas – NELAP	E-10332							
Kentucky	90129							
Louisiana – NELAP	03046							
Maryland	270							
Massachusetts	M-SC012							
Nevada	SC00012							
New Jersey - NELAP	SC002							
New Mexico	FL NELAP E87156							
New York - NELAP	11501							
North Carolina	233							
North Carolina DW	45709							
Oklahoma	9904							
Pennsylvania – NELAP	68-00485							
South Carolina	10120001/10120002							
Tennessee	TN 02934							
Texas - NELAP	T104704235-07B-TX							
U.S. Dept of Agriculture	S-52597							
Utah – NELAP	GEL							
Vermont	VT87156							
Virginia	00151							
Washington	C1641							

#### Planning Committee Conference Call- April 6, 2010

Participating on the call. John Staples, Kim Olson, Tom Morgan, Jack Briggs, Mark Lohnes, Manuel Schmaedick, Bob Goldhammer, Adam Broughton, Pat Reitz, Karen Eagleson, Tina Brown, Swapan Saha, and Steve Wurtz

#### Conference Dates: July 28-31, 2011 (Thursday-Saturday)

Plan for five breakouts at the hotel Also five or six training sessions on Thursday Manuel said they tracks being considered are. 1) LEPC Basics; 2) Facility Preparedness (Homeland Security), 3) Hazmat/Technology, 4) Health & Medical, 5) Transportation Chemical and Safety Awareness. Pat suggested having Social Networking and Emergency Response as a possible track or training session on Thursday John said the Social Networking training that Pat attended was sponsored by the Mid-America Regional Council (MARC).

Manuel said they may change the format of the table top exercise. Everyone agreed the table top exercise was good and we should keep it on the program. Adam would like to know the definite program schedule so he can plan how the exhibitors we be handled. The committee agreed that we should not have a Sunday morning Closing Session, so the conference will end around 5:00 p.m. on Saturday.

Adam said he was planning on condensing the exhibiting time to one day Bob questioned whether that was enough exposure for the exhibitors. The committee members discussed the time frame and Adam said he would work up a schedule and present to the entire Planning Committee Adam said they were planning to have an Exhibitor's Reception again on Friday from 5.00-7.00 pm Would try to coordinate it with the local LEPC's social event. Depends on what they are planning, may not have the exhibitor reception then.

Committee discussed the Awards Ceremony Karen said we should have the Awards Luncheon on Saturday Maybe combine the Awards Ceremony with the local event

Bob laid out the time frame again. Karen suggested moving the tabletop exercise to Saturday afternoon. Tom mentioned the after action reports. Karen asked if we were going to have the State Breakout sessions again and if so when. Steve said they would be on Saturday morning Manuel would schedule them in one of the track time slots

John asked about the rates for exhibitors and registration fees. Adam said he would keep the exhibitor's fee the same as last conference. He is also going to try and recruit more sponsors. Bob said we should keep the prices the same as last time, and try to trim expenses. Karen asked about hotel costs. John said we are working with them to settle on amount. Without the Sunday morning session we will lower the number of sleeping room commitments on Saturday night.

Steve asked about when a Save the Date announcement should go out. Committee agreed sometime in June would be good. Will send out an announcement via email then and mail a post card in July/August, 2010. Adam will announce it at the Regional Response Team meeting in May.

John said he will get together with Kim and develop a marketing plan for the conference

Steve will setup a meeting at the hotel on May 6, 2010 with John, Adam, Manuel and Steve to meet with hotel staff and look over the meeting space

Next Planning Committee meeting: Tuesday June 15, 2010 at the Embassy Suites Omaha at 12:00 noon